

**QUALITY CIRCLES AND EMPLOYEE
PERFORMANCE – A STUDY BASED ON PRIVATE
SECTOR INDUSTRIES IN KERALA**



Thesis submitted to the
Mahatma Gandhi University
For the award of the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN COMMERCE

By

FRANCIS C.T.

Under the supervision of

Dr. GABRIEL SIMON THATTIL
Professor, Department of Commerce
University of Kerala

RESEARCH & POST GRADUATE
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NIRMALA COLLEGE, MUVATTUPUZHA

R650 FRA-Q



T0032

December 2013

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

FRANCIS C T
Associate Professor

Department of Management Studies
St. Joseph's College, Moolamattom
Kerala

DECLARATION

*I, Francis, hereby declare that the thesis entitled **Quality Circles and Employee Performance – A study Based on Private Sector Industries in Kerala** is a bonafide record of research work done by me during the course of my research and that the thesis has not previously formed the basis for the award of any degree, diploma, associateship, fellowship or other similar title or recognition.*

Muvattupuzha
16 December 2013



FRANCIS C T

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

DR. GABRIEL SIMON THATTIL
Professor

Thiruvananthapuram
16th December 2013

Certificate

*Certified that the thesis entitled **Quality Circles and Employee Performance – A study Based on Private Sector Industries in Kerala** is a record of bonafide research work done by **Mr. Francis C T**, the research scholar, for the award of the Degree of Doctor in Philosophy under my guidance and supervision.*

This thesis is the outcome of his original work and has not formed the basis for the award of any degree, diploma, associateship, fellowship or other similar titles.

Dr. Gabriel Simon Thattil

Acknowledgement

The completion of this research work would have been impossible if not by the blessings of many people who helped me along this journey. It is great pleasure to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have been directly and indirectly instrumental in the completion of this research work.

I wish to put on record my profound sense of gratitude to my supervising teacher, Dr. Gabriel Simon Thattil, Professor, Department of Commerce, University of Kerala Thiruvananthapuram, for his valuable guidance, meticulous supervision, constructive comments and continuous encouragement in the accomplishment of this work.

I express my hearty thanks to Rev. Fr. Thomas M.S. CMI, Former Principal, St. Joseph's College, Moolamattam, for inspiring me during the early stages of the work. I extend my sincere thanks to Rev. Dr. Gilson John CMI, Principal, St. Joseph's College, Moolamattam, who enabled me to successfully complete the work in time.

I am extremely grateful to Dr. Sudev P V, Professor, University of Kerala Thiruvananthapuram, for his guidance in the application appropriate statistical tools in my thesis. I express my sincere gratitude to Dr. M. Bhasi, School of Management, Cochin University of Science & Technology, Kochi and Dr. Baburaj Professor, School of Behavioural Sciences, MG University, Kottayam for the valuable guidance & suggestions. I am also indebted to Prof. P. J. Johnson, Associate Professor, Department of English, St. Thomas College Pala, for going through the manuscript and for his valuable suggestions.

I am grateful to Rev. Dr. Vincent Joseph, Principal, Prof. P C James and Prof. N Joy former Principals, Nirmala College Muvattupuzha for providing me all the necessary facilities for the completion of this work. I extend my sincere thanks to all the faculty members of the Department of

Contents

| | | |
|------------|---------------------------------------|----|
| Chapter 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Statement of the Problem | 3 |
| 1.2 | Objectives of the Study | 4 |
| 1.3 | Scope of the Study | 5 |
| 1.4 | Hypotheses | 6 |
| 1.5 | Significance of the Study | 7 |
| 1.6 | Database and Methodology | 8 |
| 1.7 | Tools for Analysis | 10 |
| 1.8 | Limitations of the Study | 11 |
| 1.9 | Chapterisation of the Report | 12 |
| Chapter 2 | Review of Literature | 13 |
| Chapter 3 | Total Quality Management -An Overview | 35 |
| 3.1 | Quality | 35 |
| 3.1.1 | Evolution of quality | 36 |
| 3.1.2 | Definitions of Quality | 37 |
| 3.1.3 | New Definition of Quality | 38 |
| 3.1.4 | Benefits of Quality Efforts | 39 |
| 3.1.5 | Dimensions of Quality | 39 |
| 3.1.6 | Various dimensions of quality | 40 |
| 3.2 | Total Quality | 41 |
| 3.2.1 | Total Quality Control | 41 |
| 3.2.2. | Dimensions of Quality – KANO's MODEL | 42 |
| 3.3 | Total Quality Management | 45 |
| 3.3.1 | TQM and Indian Scriptures | 46 |
| 3.3.2 | Definition: TQM | 47 |
| 3.3.3 | TQM Principles | 48 |

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| 3.3.4 | TQM Models | 49 |
| 3.3.4.1 | Fuji Xerox Model(1988) | 49 |
| 3.3.4.2 | Norman Rickad Model | 50 |
| 3.3.4.3 | Eicher Group Model | 51 |
| 3.3.4.4 | Basic Frame Work Model | 52 |
| 3.3.4.5 | Operational Model | 52 |
| 3.3.4.6 | Diamond Model | 53 |
| 3.3.4.7 | Umbrella Model | 54 |
| 3.3.4.8 | Accelerated Business Improvement Model | 55 |
| 3.3.4.9 | Kano's Basics of TQM Model | 56 |
| 3.3.4.10 | Westing House Model of TQM | 56 |
| 3.3.4.11 | Itoh Model | 58 |
| 3.3.4.12 | Paratec TQM Model | 59 |
| 3.3.4.13 | Kehoe's TQM Model | 60 |
| 3.3.4.14 | Oakland Model of TQM | 61 |
| 3.3.4.15 | An integrated TQM Model | 62 |
| 3.3.4.16 | Eight Components Model | 64 |
| 3.3.4.17 | Building Blocks Model | 66 |
| 3.3.4.18 | Dhruv Model for Excellence | 67 |
| 3.3.5. | TQM GURUS | 67 |
| 3.3.5.1 | W. Edwards Deming | 71 |
| 3.3.5.2 | Joseph M. Juran | 74 |
| 3.3.5.3 | Philip B. Crosby | 76 |
| 3.3.5.4 | Armand V. Feigenbaum | 77 |
| 3.3.5.5 | Bill Conway | 78 |
| 3.3.5.6 | Kaoru Ishikawa | 80 |
| 3.3.5.7 | Genichi Taguchi | |

| | | | |
|----|-----------|--|----|
| 49 | 3.3.5.8 | Shigeo Shingo | 82 |
| 49 | 3.3.5.9 | W.G. Ouchi | 84 |
| 49 | 3.3.5.10 | Vilfredo Pareto | 85 |
| 50 | 3.3.5.11 | Tom Peters | 86 |
| 51 | 3.3.5.12 | S.R.Udpa | 86 |
| 52 | 3.3.5.13 | Stephen Covey | 87 |
| 52 | 3.3.5.14 | J.S. Oakland | 87 |
| 53 | 3.3.6 | Tools and Techniques For TQM | 88 |
| 54 | 3.3.6.1 | The 5 S Campaign | 89 |
| 55 | 3.3.6.2 | Total Quality Control (TQC) | 90 |
| 56 | 3.3.6.3 | Total Employee Involvement (TEI) | 91 |
| 56 | 3.3.6.4. | Plan – Do – Check – Act Cycle of Deming (PDCA Cycle) | 91 |
| 58 | 3.3.6.5. | Quality Circles | 92 |
| 59 | 3.3.6.6 | Statistical Process Control (SPC) | 93 |
| 60 | 3.3.6.7 | Quality Function Deployment (QFD) | 93 |
| 61 | 3.3.6.8 | Parameter Design — Taguchi Approach for Quality | 94 |
| 62 | 3.3.6.9 | Design Review | 94 |
| 64 | 3.3.6.10 | Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) and Fault Tree | 95 |
| 66 | | Analysis (FTA) | |
| 67 | 3.3.6.11. | Poka-Yoke | 95 |
| 67 | 3.3.6.12. | Kaizen - Continuously Improve (Based on P-D-C-A | 96 |
| 71 | | Cycle) | |
| 74 | 3.3.6.13. | Seven New Quality Improvement Techniques | 96 |
| 76 | 3.3.7. | Impediments To TQM | 98 |
| 77 | 3.3.7.1 | Organisational barriers | 98 |
| 78 | 3.3.7.2 | Attitudinal or behavioural barriers | 99 |
| 80 | | | |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Chapter 4 | Quality Circles and Employee Performance - An Overview | 102 |
| 4.1. | Quality Circles | 102 |
| 4.1.1. | Origin of Quality Circles | 103 |
| 4.1.2 | Beginning of quality circles in India | 105 |
| 4.1.3 | Basic Concepts | 107 |
| 4.1.4 | Quality Circle Size | 107 |
| 4.1.5 | Definition: QC | 108 |
| 4.1.6 | Quality Circle Membership | 108 |
| 4.1.7 | Voluntary Participation | 109 |
| 4.1.8 | Quality Circle Meetings | 110 |
| 4.1.9 | Autonomy | 111 |
| 4.1.10 | Objectives of Quality Circle Activities | 112 |
| 4.1.11 | Behavioural aspects of quality circles | 115 |
| 4.1.11.1 | Changes in workers' aspirations | 115 |
| 4.1.11.2 | Herzberg's theory | 117 |
| 4.1.11.3 | Mcgregor's Theory | 118 |
| 4.1.11.4 | Maslow's hierarchy of needs | 119 |
| 4.1.12 | Training in Quality Circles | 122 |
| 4.1.12.1 | Organisational awareness training | 122 |
| 4.1.12.2 | Top management awareness | 122 |
| 4.1.12.3 | Training of middle level managers | 123 |
| 4.1.12.4 | Facilitating factors | 124 |
| 4.1.12.5 | Constraints | 124 |
| 4.1.12.6 | Individual Efforts | 125 |
| 4.1.12.7 | Management Support | 125 |
| 4.1.12.8 | Training of supervisors | 126 |
| 4.1.12.9 | Training tools | 127 |

| | | | |
|-----|----------|--|-----|
| 102 | 4.1.13 | Structure of Quality Circles | 128 |
| 102 | 4.1.13.1 | Steering committee | 128 |
| 103 | 4.1.13.2 | Co-ordinator | 130 |
| 105 | 4.1.13.3 | Facilitators | 133 |
| 107 | 4.1.13.4 | Leaders | 134 |
| 107 | 4.1.13.5 | Members | 136 |
| 108 | 4.1.13.6 | Non-members or potential members | 137 |
| 108 | 4.1.14 | Problem Solving Method For Quality Circles | 138 |
| 109 | 4.1.15 | Issues in quality circles | 145 |
| 110 | 4.1.16 | Scope of quality circles | 145 |
| 111 | 4.1.17 | Impact of quality circles | 146 |
| 112 | 4.1.18 | Quality circles vis-a-vis trade unions | 146 |
| 115 | 4.1.19 | Quality circles vis-a-vis task force | 147 |
| 115 | 4.1.20 | Quality circles vis-a-vis suggestion schemes | 148 |
| 117 | 4.1.21 | Investment in quality circles | 148 |
| 118 | 4.1.22 | Recognition of quality circles | 149 |
| 119 | 4.1.23 | Management Presentation | 150 |
| 122 | 4.1.24 | Pit Falls in QC Operations | 150 |
| 122 | 4.2 | Employee Performance | 154 |
| 122 | 4.2.1 | Definition: Performance | 155 |
| 123 | 4.2.2 | Determinants of performance | 156 |
| 124 | 4.2.3 | Performance management | 156 |
| 124 | 4.2.4 | Performance Management Process | 157 |
| 125 | 4.2.4.1 | Pre requisites | 158 |
| 125 | 4.2.4.2 | Performance Planning | 158 |
| 126 | 4.2.4.3 | Performance execution | 158 |
| 127 | 4.2.4.4 | Performance Assessment | 159 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 4.2.4.5 | Performance Review | 159 |
| 4.2.4.6 | Performance Renewal and Recontracting | 159 |
| 4.2.5 | Performance appraisal | 159 |
| 4.2.5.1 | Definition: Performance appraisal | 160 |
| 4.2.5.2 | Need for Performance appraisal | 161 |
| 4.2.5.2 | Performance Appraisal process | 162 |
| 4.2.5.3 | Problems or errors in performance appraisal | 162 |
| 4.2.5.4 | Methods or Techniques of performance appraisal | 165 |
| 4.3 | Profile of the Identified Units. | 172 |
| Chapter 5 | Analysis and Discussion | 177 |
| 5.1 | Profile of the Respondents | 178 |
| 5.1.1 | Designation of the Respondents | 178 |
| 5.1.2. | Age of the respondents | 179 |
| 5.1.3. | Gender of the respondents | 180 |
| 5.1.3.1. | Working experience of the respondents | 181 |
| 5.1.3.2 | Educational Qualification | 182 |
| 5.1.3.3. | Nature of job of the respondents | 183 |
| 5.2 | Relevance of Quality Circles | 183 |
| 5.2.1 | Willingness to support others | 184 |
| 5.2.2 | Readiness to give information to others | 186 |
| 5.2.3 | Preparedness to seek information from others | 188 |
| 5.2.4 | Ability to express opinion | 190 |
| 5.2.5 | Willingness to seek opinion | 192 |
| 5.2.6 | Ability to define problems | 194 |
| 5.2.7 | Initiation of prompt action | 196 |
| 5.2.8 | Problems hindering performance | 198 |
| 5.2.9 | Interrupting free speaking | 200 |

| | | | |
|-----|----------|---|-----|
| 159 | 5.2.10 | Role of a moderator | 202 |
| 159 | 5.2.11 | Encouraging others | 205 |
| 159 | 5.2.12 | Harmony with others | 208 |
| 160 | 5.2.13 | Disagreement with others | 210 |
| 161 | 5.2.14 | Assertiveness of the respondents | 213 |
| 162 | 5.2.15 | Active aggressiveness | 215 |
| 162 | 5.2.16 | Negative aggressiveness of the respondents | 217 |
| 165 | 5.2.17 | Willingness to accept others | 219 |
| 172 | 5.2.18 | Inhibitive nature of respondents | 221 |
| 177 | 5.2.19 | Attitude of asking wild questions | 223 |
| 178 | 5.2.20 | Autocratic approach of respondents | |
| 178 | 5.3 | Difference in quality of work done and quality of processes | 227 |
| 179 | 5.3.1. | Psychological aspects | 227 |
| 180 | 5.3.1.1. | Positive psychological aspects | 227 |
| 181 | 5.3.1.2 | Negative Psychological aspects | 231 |
| 182 | 5.3.2 | Sociological aspects | 235 |
| 183 | 5.3.3 | Educational aspects | 238 |
| 183 | 5.3.4 | Economic aspects | 239 |
| 184 | 5.3.5 | Political aspects | 242 |
| 186 | 5.4. | Influence of quality circles | 246 |
| 188 | 5.4.1 | Designation | 248 |
| 190 | 5.4.2 | Age of the respondents | 249 |
| 192 | 5.4.3 | Gender of the respondents | 251 |
| 194 | 5.4.4 | Working experience | 252 |
| 196 | 5.4.5 | Education of respondents | 253 |
| 198 | 5.4.6 | Nature of the job | 256 |
| 200 | | | |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 5.5 | Factors influencing creation and working of quality circles | 260 |
| 5.6 | Opinion of HR Managers and Quality Circle Coordinators | 265 |
| | | |
| ChapterVI | Summary of Findings and Suggestions | 269 |
| 6.1 | Summary of Major Findings | 271 |
| 6.1.1 | Relevance of Quality Circles in the TQM Concept | 271 |
| 6.1.2 | Existence of Perceptible Difference in the Quality of Work Done and Quality of Processes | 271 |
| 6.1.3 | Influence of quality circles in the level performance of the members in the identified units | 273 |
| 6.1.4 | Factors that influence the creation and working of quality circles in the identified units | 275 |
| 6.1.5 | Other findings | 276 |
| 6.2 | Suggestions | 278 |
| 6.2.1 | Suggestions based on the objectives of the study | 278 |
| 6.2.2 | Other Suggestions | 279 |
| 6.3 | Scope for further studies | 281 |
| 6.4. | Conclusion | 282 |